University Survey of Student Assessment of Teaching

Course Evaluation Report

USAT

INSTRUCTOR: **Jonathan Rose** DEPARTMENT: **POLS**

COURSE: **POLS 391** SECTION: **001** TERM: **2018 Fall**

Number of students in course or section: 88 Respondents for whom this is a required course: 9

Number of returned evaluations: 36 Percentage of enrolled students responding: 41%

LEGEND: Individual course results are reported thus:

Departmental results are represented thus:

SA = Strongly Agree, A = Agree, N = Neutral, D = Disagree, SD = Strongly Disagree, NA = Not Applicable

NOTES:
1. Departmental means and percentages have been calculated as the average of the individual course means and percentages (rather than from the individual responses for the department which would result in large classes unduly influencing the results).
2. If the number of returns is less than 10, the responses are not aggregated into the departmental mean.
3. The histogram bar represents the percentage of students rating each item.
4. The numbers quoted are actual numbers of respondents.
5. For response rates of less than 65% the results may not be representative of student opinion and should be interpreted with caution.
6. The accuracy of the scanned data depends upon the legibility of the response marks. In some circumstances a small percentage of responses may be undetected by the scanner.

1. Overall, this is an excellent course.

Mean for this course: 4.4
Standard deviation: 0.60
Departmental mean: 4.2
Lowest mean for a course in this department: 3.4
Highest mean for a course in this department: 4.8

	SA	A	N	D	SD	NA
Number of respondents	18	16	2	0	0	0



2. Overall, this instructor is an effective teacher.

Mean for this course: 4.6
Standard deviation: 0.59
Departmental mean: 4.3
Lowest mean for a course in this department: 3.1
Highest mean for a course in this department: 4.8

	SA	A	N	D	SD	NA
Number of respondents	24	10	2	0	0	0



3. I learned a great deal from this course.



Mean for this course: 4.5
Standard deviation: 0.55
Departmental mean: 4.2
Lowest mean for a course in this department: 3.4
Highest mean for a course in this department: 4.7

	SA	A	N	D	SD	NA
Number of respondents	19	15	1	0	0	0

4. The instructor showed sensitivity to the needs and interests of students from diverse groups.



Mean for this course: 4.3
Standard deviation: 0.80
Departmental mean: 4.3
Lowest mean for a course in this department: 3.7
Highest mean for a course in this department: 4.8

	SA	A	N	D	SD	NA
Number of respondents	14	17	1	0	1	3

5. Grading was a fair assessment of my performance in this course.



Mean for this course: 4.0
Standard deviation: 0.90
Departmental mean: 4.0
Lowest mean for a course in this department: 2.8
Highest mean for a course in this department: 4.7

	SA	A	N	D	SD	NA
Number of respondents	10	12	7	2	0	4

6. The workload in this course was reasonable and appropriate.



Mean for this course: 4.3
Standard deviation: 0.63
Departmental mean: 4.1
Lowest mean for a course in this department: 3.1
Highest mean for a course in this department: 4.7

	SA	A	N	D	SD	NA
Number of respondents	15	17	3	0	0	0

7. My interest in the subject has been stimulated by this course.



Mean for this course: 4.3
Standard deviation: 0.71
Departmental mean: 4.1
Lowest mean for a course in this department: 3.0
Highest mean for a course in this department: 4.8

	SA	A	N	D	SD	NA
Number of respondents	17	13	5	0	0	0

8. The instructor presented material clearly.



Mean for this course: 4.4
Standard deviation: 0.60
Departmental mean: 4.1
Lowest mean for a course in this department: 2.9
Highest mean for a course in this department: 4.8

	SA	A	N	D	SD	NA
Number of respondents	17	16	2	0	0	0

9. The instructor was available for discussion outside class.



Mean for this course: 4.2
Standard deviation: 0.89
Departmental mean: 4.4
Lowest mean for a course in this department: 3.7
Highest mean for a course in this department: 4.9

	SA	A	N	D	SD	NA
Number of respondents	14	13	4	0	1	2

10. The instructor in this course showed a genuine concern for students.



Mean for this course: 4.1
Standard deviation: 0.93
Departmental mean: 4.3
Lowest mean for a course in this department: 3.4
Highest mean for a course in this department: 4.9

	SA	A	N	D	SD	NA
Number of respondents	15	12	7	0	1	1

11. The course was well organized.



Mean for this course: 4.4
Standard deviation: 0.49
Departmental mean: 4.2
Lowest mean for a course in this department: 3.0
Highest mean for a course in this department: 4.8

	SA	A	N	D	SD	NA
Number of respondents	14	22	0	0	0	0

12. The instructor always noticed when the class had questions.



Mean for this course: 4.7
Standard deviation: 0.66

	SA	A	N	D	SD	NA
Number of respondents	28	5	1	1	0	0

13. The instructor created a positive class environment.



Mean for this course: 4.7
Standard deviation: 0.44

	SA	A	N	D	SD	NA
Number of respondents	26	9	0	0	0	0

14. The instructor was able to hold my attention in this course.



Mean for this course: 4.5
Standard deviation: 0.69

	SA	A	N	D	SD	NA
Number of respondents	22	9	4	0	0	0

15. The instructor seemed genuinely interested in the course material.

Mean for this course: 4.9

Standard deviation: 0.28



	SA	A	N	D	SD	NA
Number of respondents	33	3	0	0	0	0