University Survey of Student Assessment of Teaching



Course Evaluation Report

INSTRUCTOR: Jonathan W. Rose

DEPARTMENT: **POLS**

COURSE: POLS-110

SECTION: A

YEAR: 2011

TERM: FW

Number of students in course or section:

Number of returned evaluations:

Respondents for whom this is a required course:

100

Percentage of enrolled students responding: 77%

LEGEND: Individual course results are reported thus:

Departmental results are represented thus:

NOTES: 1. Departmental means and percentages have been calculated as the average of the individual course means and percentages (rather than from the individual responses for the department which would result in large classes unduly influencing the results).

SA = Strongly Agree, A = Agree, N = Neutral, D = Disagree, SD = Strongly Disagree, NA = Not Applicable

2. If the number of returns is less than 10, the responses are not aggregated into the departmental mean.

3. The histogram bar represents the percentage of students rating each item.

4. The numbers quoted are actual numbers of respondents.

5. For response rates of less than 65% the results may not be representative of student opinion and should be interpreted with caution.

6. The accuracy of the scanned data depends upon the legibility of the response marks. In some circumstances a small percentage of responses may be undetected by the scanner.

1. Overall, this is an excellent course.

Mean for this course:

4.1

Standard deviation:

0.86

Departmental mean:

4.2

Lowest mean for a course in this department:

4.1

Highest mean for a course in this department:

4.2

SA D

Number of respondents

96 114 48

SD 12

NA

SA SD NA n

2. Overall, this instructor is an effective teacher.

Mean for this course:

4.4

Standard deviation:

0.80

SA

Departmental mean:

Number of respondents


4.4

Lowest mean for a course in this department: Highest mean for a course in this department:

D SD NA

160 80 21 11 0

Ν



3. I learned a great deal from this course.

Mean for this course: Standard deviation:

3.7

0.99 3.8

Departmental mean:

Lowest mean for a course in this department:

Highest mean for a course in this department:


3.7 3.9

Number of respondents

Ν D SD NA SA 121 1

59

56 27 7



4. The instructor showed sensitivity to the needs and interests of students from diverse groups.

Mean for this course:

4.0

Standard deviation:

0:98

Departmental mean:

4.1

Lowest mean for a course in this department:

4.0

Highest mean for a course in this department:

4.2

5

SA NA

Number of respondents

97

SD 48



5. Grading was a fair assessment of my performance in this course.

Mean for this course:

3.6

Standard deviation:

1.04

Departmental mean:

3.7

99

Lowest mean for a course in this department:

3.6


Highest mean for a course in this department:

3.7

SA Ν D SD Α

Number of respondents

NA 100 32 55 74 9 1



SD

NΑ

The workload in this course was reasonable and appropriate.

Mean for this course:

4.3

Standard deviation:

0.65

Departmental mean:

4.3

Lowest mean for a course in this department:

4.3


Highest mean for a course in this department:

4.3

Number of respondents

106

SA D SD NA 140 24 0




The instructor in this course showed a genuine concern for students.

Mean for this course: 4.1 Standard deviation: 0.91 Departmental mean: 4.2

Lowest mean for a course in this department: 4.1
Highest mean for a course in this department: 4.3

SA A N D SD NA
Number of respondents 108 107 40 11 4 2




8. My interest in the subject has been stimulated by this course.

Mean for this course: 3.8
Standard deviation: 1.18
Departmental mean: 3.9

Lowest mean for a course in this department: 3.8
Highest mean for a course in this department: 4.0

SA A N D SD NA Number of respondents 94 82 58 23 17 1




9. The course was well organized.

Mean for this course: 4.0 Standard deviation: 0.92 Departmental mean: 4.0

Lowest mean for a course in this department: 3.9
Highest mean for a course in this department: 4.0

SA A N D SD NA
Number of respondents 82 115 54 16 3 1




10. The instructor presented material clearly.

Mean for this course: 4.1 Standard deviation: 0.92 Departmental mean: 4.1

Lowest mean for a course in this department: 4.0 Highest mean for a course in this department: 4.1

SA A N D SD NA

Number of respondents 101 106 44 19 1 0



The instructor was available for discussion outside class.

Mean for this course: 4.3 Standard deviation: 0.73 Departmental mean: 4.4

Lowest mean for a course in this department: 4.3 Highest mean for a course in this department: 4.4

SA A N D SD NA
Number of respondents 111 104 36 2 0 18

