

**University Survey of Student Assessment of Teaching
Course Evaluation Report**



INSTRUCTOR: Jonathan W Rose

DEPARTMENT: POLS

COURSE: POLS-110 SECTION: A

YEAR: 2002 TERM: FW

Number of students in course or section 276

Respondents for whom this is a required course: 97

Number of returned evaluations 200

Percentage of enrolled students responding: 72%

LEGEND: Individual course results are represented thus:

Departmental results are represented thus:

SA = Strongly Agree, A = Agree, N = Neutral, D = Disagree, SD = Strongly Disagree, NA = Not Applicable

NOTES: 1. Departmental means and percentages have been calculated as the average of the individual course means and percentages (rather than from the individual responses for the department which would result in large classes unduly influencing the results).

2. If the number of returns is less than 10, the responses are not aggregated into the departmental mean.

3. The histogram bar represents the percentage of students rating each item.

4. The numbers quoted are actual numbers of respondents.

5. For response rates of less than 65% the results may not be representative of student opinion and should be interpreted with caution.

6. The accuracy of the scanned data depends upon the legibility of the response marks. In some circumstances a small percentage of responses may be undetected by the scanner.

1. Overall, this is an excellent course.

Mean for this course: 4.0


Standard deviation: 0.84

Departmental mean: 4.1

Lowest mean for a course in this department: 2.6

Highest mean for a course in this department: 4.7

	SA	A	N	D	SD	NA
Number of respondents	51	105	29	13	1	0



2. Overall, this instructor is an effective teacher.

Mean for this course: 4.5


Standard deviation: 0.66

Departmental mean: 4.2

Lowest mean for a course in this department: 2.8

Highest mean for a course in this department: 4.9

	SA	A	N	D	SD	NA
Number of respondents	119	67	10	3	0	0



3. I learned a great deal from this course.

Mean for this course: 3.9


Standard deviation: 0.94

Departmental mean: 4.1

Lowest mean for a course in this department: 3.1

Highest mean for a course in this department: 4.7


	SA	A	N	D	SD	NA
Number of respondents	49	94	36	14	4	0



4. The instructor showed sensitivity to the needs and interests of students from diverse groups.

Mean for this course: 4.4
 Standard deviation: 0.69
 Departmental mean: 4.1
 Lowest mean for a course in this department: 2.7
 Highest mean for a course in this department: 4.8


	SA	A	N	D	SD	NA
Number of respondents	101	64	22	0	0	8



5. Grading was a fair assessment of my performance in this course.

Mean for this course: 3.4
 Standard deviation: 1.05
 Departmental mean: 3.6
 Lowest mean for a course in this department: 2.6
 Highest mean for a course in this department: 4.5


	SA	A	N	D	SD	NA
Number of respondents	28	74	59	26	10	0



6. The workload in this course was reasonable and appropriate.

Mean for this course: 4.1
 Standard deviation: 0.73
 Departmental mean: 4.0
 Lowest mean for a course in this department: 3.2
 Highest mean for a course in this department: 4.5


	SA	A	N	D	SD	NA
Number of respondents	55	120	13	8	1	1



7. The instructor in this course showed a genuine concern for students.

Mean for this course: 4.5
 Standard deviation: 0.7
 Departmental mean: 4.2
 Lowest mean for a course in this department: 2.8
 Highest mean for a course in this department: 4.8


	SA	A	N	D	SD	NA
Number of respondents	115	55	17	2	0	3



8. My interest in the subject has been stimulated by this course.

Mean for this course: 3.7
 Standard deviation: 1.1
 Departmental mean: 4.0
 Lowest mean for a course in this department: 2.2
 Highest mean for a course in this department: 4.7


	SA	A	N	D	SD	NA
Number of respondents	54	71	46	18	9	0



9. The course was well organized.

Mean for this course: 4.1
 Standard deviation: 0.79
 Departmental mean: 4.1
 Lowest mean for a course in this department: 3.2
 Highest mean for a course in this department: 4.8


	SA	A	N	D	SD	NA
Number of respondents	59	102	32	6	1	0



10. The instructor presented material clearly.

Mean for this course: 4.1
 Standard deviation: 0.79
 Departmental mean: 4.1
 Lowest mean for a course in this department: 2.8
 Highest mean for a course in this department: 4.7


	SA	A	N	D	SD	NA
Number of respondents	72	88	32	6	0	0



11. The instructor was available for discussion outside class.

Mean for this course: 4.3
 Standard deviation: 0.73
 Departmental mean: 4.2
 Lowest mean for a course in this department: 3.4
 Highest mean for a course in this department: 4.9


	SA	A	N	D	SD	NA
Number of respondents	92	69	23	2	0	12



12. The instructor encouraged students to express their opinions.

Mean for this course: 4.7
 Standard deviation: 0.53


	SA	A	N	D	SD	NA
Number of respondents	144	45	7	0	0	0



13. The instructor created a positive class environment.

Mean for this course: 4.7
 Standard deviation: 0.53


	SA	A	N	D	SD	NA
Number of respondents	138	55	3	1	0	0



14. The instructor in this course showed a genuine concern for students.

Mean for this course: 4.5
 Standard deviation: 0.66


	SA	A	N	D	SD	NA
Number of respondents	113	61	15	1	0	4



15. The instructor presented material in a clear manner.

Mean for this course: 4.1
 Standard deviation: 0.76


	SA	A	N	D	SD	NA
Number of respondents	65	95	26	6	0	1



16. The course was well organized.

Mean for this course: 4.1
Standard deviation: 0.75


	SA	A	N	D	SD	NA
Number of respondents	57	102	29	4	1	1



17. The instructor was prepared for class.

Mean for this course: 4.5
Standard deviation: 0.58


	SA	A	N	D	SD	NA
Number of respondents	117	73	9	0	0	0



18. The instructor's voice was clear and understandable.

Mean for this course: 4.7
Standard deviation: 0.53


	SA	A	N	D	SD	NA
Number of respondents	148	43	7	0	0	0



19. The instructor was enthusiastic in presenting course material.

Mean for this course: 4.7
Standard deviation: 0.49


	SA	A	N	D	SD	NA
Number of respondents	150	46	4	0	0	0



20. The instructor seemed genuinely interested in the course material.

Mean for this course: 4.7
 Standard deviation: 0.52

	SA	A	N	D	SD	NA
Number of respondents	149	44	4	1	0	0



21. The instructor related theories and concepts to practical issues.

Mean for this course: 4.4
 Standard deviation: 0.76

	SA	A	N	D	SD	NA
Number of respondents	109	66	19	3	1	0

