

University Survey of Student Assessment of Teaching



Course Evaluation Report

INSTRUCTOR: Jonathan W Rose

DEPARTMENT: POLS

COURSE: POLS-110

SECTION: B

YEAR: 2009

TERM: F

Number of students in course or section: 317

Respondents for whom this is a required course: 46

Number of returned evaluations: 201

Percentage of enrolled students responding: 63%

LEGEND: Individual course results are reported thus: ████████

Departmental results are represented thus: ████████


SA = Strongly Agree, A = Agree, N = Neutral, D = Disagree, SD = Strongly Disagree, NA = Not Applicable

- NOTES:
1. Departmental means and percentages have been calculated as the average of the individual course means and percentages (rather than from the individual responses for the department which would result in large classes unduly influencing the results).
 2. If the number of returns is less than 10, the responses are not aggregated into the departmental mean.
 3. The histogram bar represents the percentage of students rating each item.
 4. The numbers quoted are actual numbers of respondents.
 5. For response rates of less than 65% the results may not be representative of student opinion and should be interpreted with caution.
 6. The accuracy of the scanned data depends upon the legibility of the response marks. In some circumstances a small percentage of responses may be undetected by the scanner.

1. Overall, this is an excellent course.

Mean for this course: 4.2
 Standard deviation: 0.81
 Departmental mean: 4.2
 Lowest mean for a course in this department: 3.2
 Highest mean for a course in this department: 4.7


	SA	A	N	D	SD	NA
Number of respondents	83	88	20	5	2	0



2. Overall, this instructor is an effective teacher.

Mean for this course: 4.6
 Standard deviation: 0.77
 Departmental mean: 4.3
 Lowest mean for a course in this department: 3.3
 Highest mean for a course in this department: 4.9


	SA	A	N	D	SD	NA
Number of respondents	135	48	10	6	1	0



3. I learned a great deal from this course.

Mean for this course: 3.9
 Standard deviation: 0.90
 Departmental mean: 4.2
 Lowest mean for a course in this department: 3.3
 Highest mean for a course in this department: 4.8


	SA	A	N	D	SD	NA
Number of respondents	53	95	36	11	3	0



4. The instructor showed sensitivity to the needs and interests of students from diverse groups.

Mean for this course: 4.4
 Standard deviation: 0.81
 Departmental mean: 4.3
 Lowest mean for a course in this department: 3.4
 Highest mean for a course in this department: 4.9


	SA	A	N	D	SD	NA
Number of respondents	107	62	16	2	3	8



5. Grading was a fair assessment of my performance in this course.

Mean for this course: 4.0
 Standard deviation: 0.92
 Departmental mean: 3.9
 Lowest mean for a course in this department: 2.6
 Highest mean for a course in this department: 4.7


	SA	A	N	D	SD	NA
Number of respondents	60	76	39	8	3	10



6. The workload in this course was reasonable and appropriate.

Mean for this course: 4.2
 Standard deviation: 0.77
 Departmental mean: 4.2
 Lowest mean for a course in this department: 3.3
 Highest mean for a course in this department: 4.6


	SA	A	N	D	SD	NA
Number of respondents	81	87	26	5	0	0



7. The instructor in this course showed a genuine concern for students.

Mean for this course: 4.5
 Standard deviation: 0.74
 Departmental mean: 4.4
 Lowest mean for a course in this department: 3.3
 Highest mean for a course in this department: 4.9


	SA	A	N	D	SD	NA
Number of respondents	116	60	18	2	1	1



8. My interest in the subject has been stimulated by this course.

Mean for this course: 4.1
 Standard deviation: 1.07
 Departmental mean: 4.1
 Lowest mean for a course in this department: 3.1
 Highest mean for a course in this department: 4.6


	SA	A	N	D	SD	NA
Number of respondents	91	66	23	14	7	0



9. The course was well organized.

Mean for this course: 4.2
 Standard deviation: 0.86
 Departmental mean: 4.1
 Lowest mean for a course in this department: 3.5
 Highest mean for a course in this department: 4.7


	SA	A	N	D	SD	NA
Number of respondents	81	82	26	9	1	0



10. The instructor presented material clearly.

Mean for this course: 4.2
 Standard deviation: 0.99
 Departmental mean: 4.1
 Lowest mean for a course in this department: 3.3
 Highest mean for a course in this department: 4.8

	SA	A	N	D	SD	NA
Number of respondents	96	67	21	8	6	0



11. The instructor was available for discussion outside class.

Mean for this course: 4.2
 Standard deviation: 0.83
 Departmental mean: 4.3
 Lowest mean for a course in this department: 3.3
 Highest mean for a course in this department: 4.9

	SA	A	N	D	SD	NA
Number of respondents	71	55	36	3	0	34

